

Twisted Quantum Affine Superalgebra $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$, $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ Invariant R-matrices and a New Integrable Electronic Model

Mark D. Gould, Jon R. Links ¹, Yao-Zhong Zhang ²

Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

Ioannis Tsohantjis

Department of Physics, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas. 7001, Australia

Abstract

We describe the twisted affine superalgebra $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$ and its quantized version $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$. We investigate the tensor product representation of the 4-dimensional grade star representation for the fixed point subsuperalgebra $U_q[osp(2|2)]$. We work out the tensor product decomposition explicitly and find the decomposition is not completely reducible. Associated with this 4-dimensional grade star representation we derive two $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ invariant R-matrices: one of them corresponds to $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ and the other to $U_q[osp(2|2)^{(1)}]$. Using the R-matrix for $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$, we construct a new $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ invariant strongly correlated electronic model, which is integrable in one dimension. Interestingly, this model reduces, in the $q = 1$ limit, to the one proposed by Essler et al which has a larger, $sl(2|2)$, symmetry.

¹Australian Postdoctoral Fellow. Email: jrl@maths.uq.oz.au

²Queen Elizabeth II Fellow. Email: yzz@maths.uq.oz.au

1 Introduction

Quantum affine algebras describe the underlying symmetries of integrable systems, conformal field theories, exactly solvable models and integrable quantum field theories. Quantum affine superalgebras are $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -graded generalizations [1, 2] of the bosonic quantum algebras and are mathematical objects of importance in the study of supersymmetric theories. Examples are supersymmetric lattice models of strongly correlated electrons such as the supersymmetric t - J model [3], the extended Hubbard model [4] and the supersymmetric U model proposed in [5] and exactly solved in [6]. In each case these models are derived from an R-matrix satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation. The construction of these R-matrices can be achieved within the framework of the quantum affine superalgebras.

Despite their significance, quantum affine superalgebras have so far remained largely understudied in the literature. This is particularly the case for the twisted quantum affine superalgebras. In this paper we will study the twisted affine superalgebra $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ and one interesting representation for its fixed point subsuperalgebra $U_q[osp(2|2)]$.

Lie superalgebras are much richer structures and have a more complicated representation theory than their bosonic counterparts [1, 7]. For instance, a given Lie superalgebra allows many inequivalent systems of simple roots and these give rise to different Hopf algebras upon deformation. As will be seen below, one has to work with the non-standard simple root system of $sl(2|2)$ to obtain the twisted superalgebra $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$.

For every pair of finite-dimensional irreps of a quantum affine superalgebra there exists a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation [8]. In a recent paper [9] we showed how to construct R-matrices for twisted bosonic quantum algebras. Our work has immediately been taken up and generalized by the authors in [10]. For the type of irrep considered in the present paper, however, care must be taken since the tensor product decomposition of two such irreps is not completely reducible. This problem is solved by introducing a nilpotent operator of order 2. Using this approach, we will determine the spectral dependent R-matrices for $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ and $U_q[osp(2|2)^{(1)}]$.

The R-matrix for $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ has an interesting feature that in the rational limit it becomes $sl(2|2)$ invariant. Using this R-matrix, we will derive a new $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ invariant model of strongly correlated electrons which is integrable on a one dimension lattice. This model has different interaction terms from the ones in the models [3, 4, 5].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 and section 3, we study the twisted affine superalgebra $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$ and its quantized version $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$, respectively. The tensor product representation of the 4-dimensional grade star representation for the fixed

subsuperalgebra $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ is also investigated in details, and basis and its dual for this irrep are constructed explicitly. In section 4, we derive the R-matrix associated with the 4-dimensional irrep of $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$. Using this R-matrix, we propose, in section 5, a new model of strongly correlated electrons which is exactly solvable on a one-dimensional lattice. In section 6, we rederive the R-matrix associated with $U_q[osp(2|2)^{(1)}]$. In section 7 we give some concluding remarks.

2 Twisted Affine Superalgebra $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$

We recall the relevant information about twisted affine superalgebras [1]. Let L be a finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra and τ a diagram automorphism of L of order k . Associated to these one constructs the twisted affine superalgebra $L^{(k)}$. In this paper we will assume $k = 2$. Let L_0 be the fixed point subalgebra under the diagram automorphism σ . We recall that

$$L = L_0 \oplus L_1, \quad [L_i, L_j] = L_{(i+j) \bmod 2}. \quad (2.1)$$

L_1 gives rise to a L_0 -module under the adjoint action of L_0 . Let θ_0 be its highest weight.

Let us consider $L = sl(2|2)$, whose generators we denote as E_j^i , $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We choose the grading $[1] = [4] = 0$ and $[2] = [3] = 1$. The $sl(2|2)$ generators satisfy the graded commutation relations

$$[E_j^i, E_l^k] = \delta_j^k E_l^i - (-1)^{([i]+[j])([k]+[l])} \delta_l^i E_j^k. \quad (2.2)$$

We work with the non-standard root system of $sl(2|2)$. Then the associated Dynkin diagram has an automorphism τ of order 2 [2]. Under τ , the root vectors associated to this diagram, which are E_3^1 , E_2^3 and E_4^2 , transform in the following fashion:

$$\tau(E_3^1) = E_4^2, \quad \tau(E_2^3) = E_2^3, \quad \tau(E_4^2) = E_3^1. \quad (2.3)$$

This, together with relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E_1^1) &= -E_4^4, & \tau(E_2^2) &= -E_3^3, \\ \tau(E_3^3) &= -E_2^2, & \tau(E_4^4) &= -E_1^1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

leads us to define the following transformation rules for other generators in order that the graded commutation relations are invariant under the automorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E_2^1) &= -E_4^3, & \tau(E_4^1) &= -E_4^1, & \tau(E_4^3) &= -E_2^1, \\ \tau(E_3^2) &= E_3^2, & \tau(E_1^3) &= -E_2^4, & \tau(E_2^4) &= -E_1^3, \\ \tau(E_1^2) &= E_3^4, & \tau(E_1^4) &= -E_1^4, & \tau(E_3^4) &= E_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

With respect to the eigenvectors of τ we have the decomposition $sl(2|2) = sl(2|2)_0 \oplus sl(2|2)_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} sl(2|2)_0 &= \{X \in sl(2|2), \tau(X) = X\} = \left\{ E_2^2 - E_3^3, E_3^2, E_2^3, \frac{1}{2}(E_3^3 + E_4^4 - E_1^1 - E_2^2), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-E_2^1 + E_4^3), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_1^2 + E_3^4), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_3^1 + E_4^2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-E_1^3 + E_2^4) \right\} \\ sl(2|2)_1 &= \{X \in sl(2|2), \tau(X) = -X\} = \left\{ iE_4^1, iE_1^4, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_2^1 + E_4^3), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-E_1^2 + E_3^4), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-E_3^1 + E_4^2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_1^3 + E_2^4), E_1^1 + E_2^2 + E_3^3 + E_4^4 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It is easily seen that the fixed point subsuperalgebra $sl(2|2)_0$ is nothing but $osp(2|2) = sl(2|1)$.

We recall that $sl(2|2)$ admits Chevalley generators $\{E_i, F_i, H_i, i = 0, 1, 2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= E_3^2, & F_1 &= E_2^3, & H_1 &= E_2^2 - E_3^3, \\ E_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-E_2^1 + E_4^3), & F_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_1^2 + E_3^4), & H_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(E_3^3 + E_4^4 - E_1^1 - E_2^2), \\ E_0 &= iE_1^4, & F_0 &= iE_4^1, & H_0 &= E_1^1 - E_4^4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Here $E_i, F_i, H_i, i = 1, 2$, form the Chevalley generators for $sl(2|2)_0$. $E_0 \in sl(2|2)_1$ corresponds to the minimal weight vector and thus has weight $-\theta_0$. It follows that $H_0 = -n_1 H_1 - n_2 H_2$ lies in the Cartan subalgebra H of $sl(2|2)_0$. The integers n_1, n_2 are known as the Kac labels of $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$.

We now introduce the corresponding twisted affine superalgebra $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$ which admits the decomposition

$$sl(2|2)^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}} L_m \oplus \mathbf{C}c_0, \quad L_m = \begin{cases} L_0(m), & m \in \mathbf{Z} \\ L_1(m), & m \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

with $L_a(m) = \{X(m) | x \in L_a\}$, $a = 0, 1$ and c_0 a central charge. The graded Lie bracket is given by

$$[X(m), Y(n)] = [X, Y](m+n) + m c_0 \delta_{m+n,0} (X, Y), \quad [c_0, X(m)] = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Here $(\ , \)$ is the fixed invariant bilinear form on $sl(2|2)$. A suitable set of generators for $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= E_i(0), & h_i &= H_i(0), & f_i &= F_i(0), & i &= 1, 2, \\ e_0 &= E_0(1/2), & h_0 &= H_0(0) + c_0/2, & f_0 &= F_0(-1/2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

These simple generators satisfy the defining relations of $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i, f_j] &= \delta_{ij} h_i, & e_2^2 &= 0 = f_2^2, \\
[h_0, e_0] &= -2e_0, & [h_0, e_1] &= 0, & [h_0, e_2] &= e_2, \\
[h_1, e_0] &= 0, & [h_1, e_1] &= 2e_1, & [h_1, e_2] &= -e_2, \\
[h_2, e_0] &= e_0, & [h_2, e_1] &= -e_1, & [h_2, e_2] &= 0, \\
[h_0, f_0] &= 2f_0, & [h_0, f_1] &= 0, & [h_0, f_2] &= -f_2, \\
[h_1, f_0] &= 0, & [h_1, f_1] &= -2f_1, & [h_1, f_2] &= f_2, \\
[h_2, f_0] &= -f_0, & [h_2, f_1] &= f_1, & [h_2, f_2] &= 0, \\
(\text{ad} e_1)^2 e_2 &= (\text{ad} e_0) e_1 = (\text{ad} e_0)^2 e_2 = 0, \\
(\text{ad} f_1)^2 f_2 &= (\text{ad} f_0) f_1 = (\text{ad} f_0)^2 f_2 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We have an algebra homomorphism, called the *evaluation map*, $ev_x : U[sl(2|2)^{(2)}] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[x, x^{-1}] \otimes U[sl(2|2)]$, with $U[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$, $U[sl(2|2)]$ the enveloping algebras of $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$, $sl(2|2)$ respectively, given by

$$ev_x(X(m)) = x^{2m} X, \quad ev_x(c_0) = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

and extended to all of $U[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ in the natural way. Thus given a finite dimensional $sl(2|2)$ -module V carrying a representation π we have a corresponding $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$ module $V(x) = \mathbf{C}[x, x^{-1}] \otimes V$ carrying the *loop representation* $\hat{\pi}$ given by

$$\hat{\pi} = (1 \otimes \pi) ev_x. \tag{2.13}$$

Below we will see such representations of $osp(2|2)$ can be quantized to give solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation.

3 $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$

Corresponding to the twisted affine algebra $sl(2|2)^{(2)}$ we have the twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ with generators $q^{\pm h_i/2}, e_i, f_i$, ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i, f_j] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{h_i} - q^{-h_i}}{q - q^{-1}}, & e_2^2 &= 0 = f_2^2, \\
q^{h_0} e_0 q^{-h_0} &= q^{-2} e_0, & q^{h_0} e_1 q^{-h_0} &= e_1, & q^{h_0} e_2 q^{-h_0} &= q e_2, \\
q^{h_1} e_0 q^{-h_1} &= e_0, & q^{h_1} e_1 q^{-h_1} &= q^2 e_1, & q^{h_1} e_2 q^{-h_1} &= q^{-1} e_2, \\
q^{h_2} e_0 q^{-h_2} &= q e_0, & q^{h_2} e_1 q^{-h_2} &= q^{-1} e_1, & q^{h_2} e_2 q^{-h_2} &= e_2, \\
q^{h_0} f_0 q^{-h_0} &= q^2 f_0, & q^{h_0} f_1 q^{-h_0} &= f_1, & q^{h_0} f_2 q^{-h_0} &= q^{-1} f_2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{h_1} f_0 q^{-h_1} &= f_0, & q^{h_1} f_1 q^{-h_1} &= q^{-2} f_1, & q^{h_1} f_2 q^{-h_1} &= q f_2, \\
q^{h_2} f_0 q^{-h_2} &= q^{-1} f_0, & q^{h_2} f_1 q^{-h_2} &= q f_1, & q^{h_2} f_2 q^{-h_2} &= f_2, \\
e_0 e_1 - e_1 e_0 &= 0, & e_0^2 e_2 + e_2 e_0^2 - (q + q^{-1}) e_0 e_2 e_0 &= 0, \\
e_1^2 e_2 + e_2 e_1^2 - (q + q^{-1}) e_1 e_2 e_1 &= 0, \\
f_0 f_1 - f_1 f_0 &= 0, & f_0^2 f_2 + f_2 f_0^2 - (q + q^{-1}) f_0 f_2 f_0 &= 0, \\
f_1^2 f_2 + f_2 f_1^2 - (q + q^{-1}) f_1 f_2 f_1 &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Throughout this paper we will assume that q is generic, i.e. not a root of unity and $[n]_q = (q^n - q^{-n})/(q - q^{-1})$.

The algebra $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ is a Hopf algebra. The coproduct is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(q^{\pm h}) &= q^{\pm h} \otimes q^{\pm h} \\
\Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes q^{-h_i/2} + q^{h_i/2} \otimes e_i \\
\Delta(f_i) &= f_i \otimes q^{-h_i/2} + q^{h_i/2} \otimes f_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We omit the formulas for the antipode and the counit. The multiplication rule for the tensor product is defined for elements $a, b, c, d \in U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ by

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{[b][c]}(ac \otimes bd). \tag{3.3}$$

The (minimal) 4-dimensional irreducible representation of $U_q[sl(2|2)]$ is undeformed. That is the representation matrices for the fundamental generators are the same as in the classical case. Choosing a basis $|4\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 1)^t$, $|3\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0)^t$, $|2\rangle = (0, 1, 0, 0)^t$, $|1\rangle = (1, 0, 0, 0)^t$, with $|1\rangle$, $|4\rangle$ even (bosonic) and $|2\rangle$, $|3\rangle$ odd (fermionic), the representation matrices are $E_j^i = e_j^i$, where $(e_j^i)_l^k = \delta^{ik} \delta_{jl}$. Using the $U_q[sl(2|2)]$ generators $\{E_i, F_i, H_i, i = 0, 1, 2\}$ this representation is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= e_3^2, & F_1 &= e_2^3, & H_1 &= e_2^2 - e_3^3, \\
E_2 &= \sqrt{[1/2]_q}(-e_2^1 + e_4^3), & F_2 &= \sqrt{[1/2]_q}(e_1^2 + e_3^4), & H_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_3^3 + e_4^4 - e_1^1 - e_2^2), \\
E_0 &= i e_1^4, & F_0 &= i e_4^1, & H_0 &= e_1^1 - e_4^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

It can be shown that there exists an evaluation representation of $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i &= E_i, & f_i &= F_i, & h_i &= H_i, & i &= 1, 2, \\
e_0 &= x E_0, & f_0 &= x^{-1} F_0, & h_0 &= H_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The 4-dimensional representation of $U_q[sl(2|2)]$ is also irreducible under the $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ subsuperalgebra. We call such a representation $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -irreducible. Eq.(3.5) implies

that this irreducible 4-dimensional $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -module, denoted as V in what follows, is affinizable to provide also an irreducible $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ representation. As in the classical case [7], the tensor product of two such $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -irreducible representations is not completely reducible. This can be seen as follows. Introduce the graded permutation operator P on the tensor product module $V \otimes V$ such that

$$P(v_\alpha \otimes v_\beta) = (-1)^{[\alpha][\beta]} v_\beta \otimes v_\alpha, \quad \forall v_\alpha, v_\beta \in V. \quad (3.6)$$

We decompose the tensor product as

$$V \otimes V = W_+ \oplus W_- \quad (3.7)$$

with $W_\pm$ being eigenspaces of P in the $q = 1$ limit:

$$W_\pm = \{v \in V \otimes V \mid \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (P \mp 1)v = 0\}. \quad (3.8)$$

It is easy to check that the states

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} (q^{1/4}|1\rangle \otimes |2\rangle - q^{-1/4}|2\rangle \otimes |1\rangle), \\ |\psi_2^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} (q^{1/4}|1\rangle \otimes |3\rangle - q^{-1/4}|3\rangle \otimes |1\rangle), \\ |\psi_3^-\rangle &= |2\rangle \otimes |2\rangle, \\ |z\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(|1\rangle \otimes |4\rangle - |4\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + |2\rangle \otimes |3\rangle + |3\rangle \otimes |2\rangle), \\ |w\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{1/2}|2\rangle \otimes |3\rangle + q^{-1/2}|3\rangle \otimes |2\rangle), \\ |\psi_6^-\rangle &= |3\rangle \otimes |3\rangle, \\ |\psi_7^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} (q^{1/4}|2\rangle \otimes |4\rangle - q^{-1/4}|4\rangle \otimes |2\rangle), \\ |\psi_8^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} (q^{1/4}|3\rangle \otimes |4\rangle - q^{-1/4}|4\rangle \otimes |3\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

span the invariant subspace W_- , and we set

$$\langle \psi^- | = (|\psi^- \rangle)^\dagger, \quad |\psi^- \rangle = |\psi_k^-\rangle, |z\rangle, |w\rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (|\beta\rangle \otimes |\gamma\rangle)^\dagger &= (-1)^{[|\beta\rangle][|\gamma\rangle]} (|\beta\rangle)^\dagger \otimes (|\gamma\rangle)^\dagger, \\ (|\beta\rangle)^\dagger &= \langle \beta |, \quad \forall \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Notice that the states $|z\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$ are not orthonormal to each other. The remaining states are combined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_1^+\rangle &= |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle, \\
|\psi_2^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} \left(q^{-1/4}|1\rangle \otimes |2\rangle + q^{1/4}|2\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \right), \\
|\psi_3^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} \left(q^{-1/4}|1\rangle \otimes |3\rangle + q^{1/4}|3\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \right), \\
|s\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(q + q^{-1})}} (q^{-1/2}|1\rangle \otimes |4\rangle + q^{1/2}|4\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + q^{-1/2}|2\rangle \otimes |3\rangle - q^{1/2}|3\rangle \otimes |2\rangle), \\
|c\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(q^2 + 1)(3 - 2q + 3q^2)}} \left((2q^2 - q + 1)|1\rangle \otimes |4\rangle + (q^2 - q + 2)|4\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (q + 1)|2\rangle \otimes |3\rangle + q(q + 1)|3\rangle \otimes |2\rangle \right), \\
|\psi_6^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} \left(q^{-1/4}|2\rangle \otimes |4\rangle + q^{1/4}|4\rangle \otimes |2\rangle \right), \\
|\psi_7^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}} \left(q^{-1/4}|3\rangle \otimes |4\rangle + q^{1/4}|4\rangle \otimes |3\rangle \right), \\
|\psi_8^+\rangle &= |4\rangle \otimes |4\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where, as above, we have used $\langle \psi^+ | = (|\psi^+\rangle)^\dagger$, where $|\psi^+\rangle$ stands for $|\psi_k^+\rangle$, $|s\rangle$, $|c\rangle$.

One can show that i) the eight states (3.12) span the invariant subspace W_+ and $|c\rangle$ is a cyclic vector for the corresponding representation; ii) $|s\rangle$ spans a 1-dimensional invariant subspace, i.e. it is mapped into zero by all generators of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$. However, the singlet state $|s\rangle$ is not separable from the representation. Therefore the tensor product is not completely reducible.

Recall that $|z\rangle$, $|w\rangle$, $|s\rangle$ and $|c\rangle$ are not orthonormal to each other. Let us construct the dual of these states. Denote

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv |z\rangle, \quad |\psi_2\rangle \equiv |w\rangle, \quad |\psi_3\rangle \equiv |s\rangle, \quad |\psi_4\rangle \equiv |c\rangle \tag{3.13}$$

and define a metric g_{ij} :

$$g_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{3.14}$$

It is easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{11} &= g_{22} = g_{33} = g_{44} = 1, \quad g_{12} = g_{21} = \frac{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}{2\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}}, \\
g_{13} &= g_{31} = \frac{q^{-1/2} - q^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2(q + q^{-1})}}, \quad g_{23} = g_{32} = g_{24} = g_{42} = 0, \\
g_{34} &= g_{43} = 0, \quad g_{14} = g_{41} = \frac{q^2 - 1}{\sqrt{2(q^2 + 1)(3 - 2q + 3q^2)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Define dual states as follows

$$\langle \psi^i | = g^{ij} \langle \psi_j |, \quad (g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1} \quad (3.16)$$

where summation on the repeated index j is implied. A long exercise leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi^1 | &= \frac{2}{(1+q)^2} \left((1+q^2)(\langle 1 | \otimes \langle 4 | - \langle 4 | \otimes \langle 1 |) + (1-q)(\langle 2 | \otimes \langle 3 | - q\langle 3 | \otimes \langle 2 |) \right), \\ \langle \psi^2 | &= \frac{\sqrt{1+q^2}}{1+q} (-\langle 1 | \otimes \langle 4 | + \langle 4 | \otimes \langle 1 | - \langle 2 | \otimes \langle 3 | - \langle 3 | \otimes \langle 2 |), \\ \langle \psi^3 | &= \frac{1}{(1+q)^2 \sqrt{2(q^2+1)}} \left((-1+4q-q^2+2q^3)\langle 1 | \otimes \langle 4 | + (2-q+4q^2-q^3)\langle 4 | \otimes \langle 1 | \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (3-2q+3q^2)(\langle 2 | \otimes \langle 3 | - q\langle 3 | \otimes \langle 2 |) \right), \\ \langle \psi^4 | &= \sqrt{\frac{3-2q+3q^2}{2(q^2+1)}} \frac{1}{1+q} (\langle 1 | \otimes \langle 4 | + q\langle 4 | \otimes \langle 1 | + \langle 2 | \otimes \langle 3 | - q\langle 3 | \otimes \langle 2 |). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We remark that $\langle \psi^4 |$ spans a 1-dimensional right submodule under the quantum group action.

4 R-matrix for $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$

With an abuse of notation, in this section we set $e_0 = ie_1^4$, $f_0 = ie_4^1$ and $h_0 = e_1^1 - e_4^4$. It can be shown [8] that a solution to the linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} R(x)\Delta(a) &= \bar{\Delta}(a)R(x), \quad \forall a \in U_q[osp(2|2)], \\ R(x) \left(x e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) &= \left(x e_0 \otimes q^{h_0/2} + q^{-h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) R(x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

satisfies the QYBE

$$R_{12}(x)R_{13}(xy)R_{23}(y) = R_{23}(y)R_{13}(xy)R_{12}(x). \quad (4.2)$$

In the above, $\bar{\Delta} = T \cdot \Delta$, with T the twist map defined by $T(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{[a][b]} b \otimes a$, $\forall a, b \in U_q[osp(2|2)]$ and also, if $R(x) = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, then $R_{12}(x) = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \otimes I$ etc. The solution to (4.1) is unique, up to scalar functions. The multiplicative spectral parameter x can be transformed into an additive spectral parameter u by $x = \exp(u)$.

In all our equations we implicitly use the “graded” multiplication rule of eq. (3.3). Thus the R-matrix of a quantum superalgebra satisfies a “graded” QYBE which, when written as an ordinary matrix equation, contains extra signs:

$$\begin{aligned} R(x)_{ij}^{i'j'} R(xy)_{i'k}^{i''k'} R(y)_{j'k'}^{j''k''} (-1)^{[i][j]+[k][i']+[k'][j']} \\ = R(y)_{jk}^{j'k'} R(xy)_{ik'}^{i'k''} R(x)_{i'j'}^{i''j''} (-1)^{[j][k]+[k'][i]+[j'][i']}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

However after a redefinition

$$\tilde{R}(\cdot)_{ij}^{i'j'} = R(\cdot)_{ij}^{i'j'} (-1)^{[i][j]} \quad (4.4)$$

the signs disappear from the equation. Thus any solution of the “graded” QYBE arising from the R -matrix of a quantum superalgebra provides also a solution of the standard QYBE after the redefinition in eq. (4.4).

Set

$$\check{R}(x) = PR(x) \quad (4.5)$$

where P is the graded permutation operator on $V \otimes V$. Then (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{R}(x)\Delta(a) &= \Delta(a)\check{R}(x), \quad \forall a \in U_q[\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)], \\ \check{R}(x) \left(x e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) &= \left(e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + x q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) \check{R}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

and in terms of $\check{R}(x)$ the QYBE becomes

$$(I \otimes \check{R}(x))(\check{R}(xy) \otimes I)(I \otimes \check{R}(y)) = (\check{R}(y) \otimes I)(I \otimes \check{R}(xy))(\check{R}(x) \otimes I). \quad (4.7)$$

Note that this equation, if written in matrix form, does not have extra signs. This is because the definition of the graded permutation operator in eq. (3.6) includes the signs of eq. (4.4). In the following we will normalize the R -matrix $\check{R}(x)$ in such a way that $\check{R}(x)\check{R}(x^{-1}) = I$, which is usually called the unitarity condition in the literature.

Let us proceed to solve $\check{R}(x)$ satisfying (4.6) for $U_q[\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(2)}]$, that is for $e_0 = ie_1^4$, $h_0 = e_1^1 - e_4^4$. As we have shown in the last section, the tensor product decomposition is not completely reducible. Therefore the tensor product graph method developed in [9, 10] is not applicable to the present case. Let $P[\pm]$ denote the (central) projection operators defined by

$$P[\pm](V \otimes V) = W_{\pm} \quad (4.8)$$

and N the operator mapping the cyclic vector of $V \otimes V$ to the singlet $V_0 \subset W_+ \subset V \otimes V$. Obviously N is nilpotent of order 2 (i.e. $N^2 = 0$). Using the states we have found in last section, $P[\pm]$ and N can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} P[+] &= |\psi_1^+\rangle\langle\psi_1^+| + |\psi_2^+\rangle\langle\psi_2^+| + |\psi_3^+\rangle\langle\psi_3^+| + |\psi_6^+\rangle\langle\psi_6^+| + \\ &\quad |\psi_7^+\rangle\langle\psi_7^+| + |\psi_8^+\rangle\langle\psi_8^+| + |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi^3| + |\psi_4\rangle\langle\psi^4|, \\ P[-] &= |\psi_1^-\rangle\langle\psi_1^-| + |\psi_2^-\rangle\langle\psi_2^-| + |\psi_3^-\rangle\langle\psi_3^-| + |\psi_6^-\rangle\langle\psi_6^-| + \\ &\quad |\psi_7^-\rangle\langle\psi_7^-| + |\psi_8^-\rangle\langle\psi_8^-| + |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi^1| + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi^2|, \\ N &= f(q) |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi^4|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $f(q)$ is an arbitrary factor depending on q . It is worth pointing out that $P[\pm]$ and N are all quantum group $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ invariants. Moreover they satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} P[\pm]P[\pm] &= P[\pm], \quad N^2 = 0, \quad P[+]P[-] = P[-]P[+] = 0, \\ P[+]N &= NP[+] = N, \quad P[-]N = NP[-] = 0, \quad P[+] + P[-] = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

With the help of these operators, the most general $\check{R}(x)$ satisfying the first equation in (4.6) may be written in the form

$$\check{R}(x) = \rho_+(x) P[+] + \rho_N(x) N + \rho_-(x) P[-] \quad (4.11)$$

where $\rho_{\pm}(x)$, $\rho_N(x)$, are unknown functions depending on x , q .

Multiplying the second equation in (4.6) by $P[+]$ from the left and the resulting equation by $P[+]$ from the right, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_+(x)P[+] + \rho_N(x)N) (x e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0) P[+] \\ = P[+] (e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + x q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0) (\rho_+(x)P[+] + \rho_N(x)N) \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where (4.10) has been used. With the help of (4.9), (3.9), (3.12) and (3.17), one obtains from the above equation

$$\rho_N(x) = \frac{1-q}{f(q)} \frac{2(q+q^{-1})}{\sqrt{3-2q+3q^2}} \frac{1-x}{1+x} \rho_+(x). \quad (4.13)$$

If one multiplies the second equation in (4.6) by $P[-]$ from the left and the resulting equation by $P[+]$ from the right, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_-(x)P[-] (x e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0) P[+] \\ = P[-] (e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + x q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0) (\rho_+(x)P[+] + \rho_N(x)N) \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

which gives rise to

$$\rho_-(x) = \frac{1-xq}{x-q} \rho_+(x) \quad (4.15)$$

It follows that

$$\check{R}(x) = P[+] + \frac{1-q}{f(q)} \frac{2(q+q^{-1})}{\sqrt{3-2q+3q^2}} \frac{1-x}{1+x} N + \frac{1-xq}{x-q} P[-]. \quad (4.16)$$

Remember that the arbitrary factor $f(q)$ in (4.16) cancels out with the same factor $f(q)$ appearing in the definition of N .

An interesting feature of this $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ invariant R-matrix is that in the rational limit the N term disappears from $\check{R}(x)$ and the resultant rational R-matrix becomes

$sl(2|2)$ invariant: the 36-vertex model reduces to a 28-vertex one in the rational limit! We also point out that a similar $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -invariant R-matrix has essentially been obtained in [11] using a different approach. We have shown here that this R-matrix actually comes from the twisted quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$.

5 New $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ Invariant Electronic Model

In this section we propose a new $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -invariant strongly correlated electronic model on the unrestricted 4^L -dimensional electronic Hilbert space $\otimes_{n=1}^L \mathbf{C}^4$, where L is the lattice length. This model has different interaction terms from previous ones introduced in [3, 4, 5].

We recall that electrons on a lattice are described by canonical Fermi operators $c_{i,\sigma}$ and $c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ satisfying the anti-commutation relations given by $\{c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger, c_{j,\tau}\} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\tau}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ and $\sigma, \tau = \uparrow, \downarrow$. The operator $c_{i,\sigma}$ annihilates an electron of spin σ at site i , which implies that the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ satisfies $c_{i,\sigma}|0\rangle = 0$. At a given lattice site i there are four possible electronic states:

$$|0\rangle, \quad |\uparrow\rangle_i = c_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger|0\rangle, \quad |\downarrow\rangle_i = c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger|0\rangle, \quad |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle_i = c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger|0\rangle. \quad (5.1)$$

By $n_{i,\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$ we denote the number operator for electrons with spin σ on site i , and we write $n_i = n_{i,\uparrow} + n_{i,\downarrow}$. The spin operators S , $S^\dagger$, S^z , (in the following, the global operator $\mathcal{O}$ will be always expressed in terms of the local one $\mathcal{O}_i$ as $\mathcal{O} = \sum_{i=1}^L \mathcal{O}_i$ in one dimension)

$$S_i = c_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i,\downarrow}, \quad S_i^\dagger = c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i,\uparrow}, \quad S_i^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_{i,\downarrow} - n_{i,\uparrow}), \quad (5.2)$$

form an $sl(2)$ algebra and they commute with the hamiltonians that we consider below.

Using the R-matrix (4.16) and denoting

$$\check{R}_{i,i+1}(x) = I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes \underbrace{\check{R}(x)}_{i \ i+1} \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \quad (5.3)$$

one may define the local hamiltonian

$$H_{i,i+1} = \frac{d}{dx} \check{R}_{i,i+1}(x) \Big|_{x=1} \quad (5.4)$$

By (4.9), (3.9), (3.12) and (3.17) and choosing

$$|4\rangle \equiv |0\rangle, \quad |3\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\rangle, \quad |2\rangle \equiv |\downarrow\rangle, \quad |1\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \quad (5.5)$$

one gets, after tedious but straightforward manipulation,

$$\begin{aligned}
H &\equiv \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} H_{i,j}, \\
H_{i,j} &= c_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j,\uparrow} \left[1 - n_{i,\downarrow} - n_{j,\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) (n_{i,\downarrow}(1 - n_{j,\downarrow}) + n_{j,\downarrow}(1 - n_{i,\downarrow})) \right] \\
&\quad + c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j,\downarrow} \left[1 - n_{i,\uparrow} - n_{j,\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) (q(1 - n_{i,\uparrow})n_{j,\uparrow} + q^{-1}n_{i,\uparrow}(1 - n_{j,\uparrow})) \right] \\
&\quad + c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i,\uparrow} \left[1 - n_{i,\downarrow} - n_{j,\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) (n_{i,\downarrow}(1 - n_{j,\downarrow}) + n_{j,\downarrow}(1 - n_{i,\downarrow})) \right] \\
&\quad + c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i,\downarrow} \left[1 - n_{i,\uparrow} - n_{j,\uparrow} + \frac{1}{2}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) (q(1 - n_{i,\uparrow})n_{j,\uparrow} + q^{-1}n_{i,\uparrow}(1 - n_{j,\uparrow})) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) (S_i^\dagger S_j + S_j^\dagger S_i - q^{-1}n_{i,\uparrow}n_{j,\downarrow} - q n_{i,\downarrow}n_{j,\uparrow}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) (c_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j,\downarrow} c_{j,\uparrow} + \text{h.c.} + (q - q^{-1})n_{i,\uparrow}n_{j,\uparrow}(n_{j,\downarrow} - n_{i,\downarrow})) \\
&\quad + \frac{q^{-2} - 2q - 3}{2(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})} n_{i,\uparrow}n_{i,\downarrow} + \frac{q^2 - 2q^{-1} - 3}{2(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})} n_{j,\uparrow}n_{j,\downarrow} \\
&\quad + q^{1/2}(n_{i,\uparrow} + n_{i,\downarrow}) + q^{-1/2}(n_{j,\uparrow} + n_{j,\downarrow}), \tag{5.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle i, j \rangle$ denote nearest neighbour links on the lattice. In deriving (5.6), use has been made of the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
|0\rangle\langle 0| + |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| + |\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\downarrow| &= 1, \\
|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\downarrow| &= n_\uparrow n_\downarrow, \\
|\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| &= n_\uparrow - n_\uparrow n_\downarrow, \quad |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| = n_\downarrow - n_\uparrow n_\downarrow. \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Our hamiltonian is supersymmetric and the supersymmetry algebra is $U_q[osp(2|2)]$. The global hamiltonian commutes with global number operators of spin up and spin down, respectively. Moreover the model is exactly solvable on the one dimensional lattice.

In the $q = 1$ limit, our model reduces to one proposed by Essler et al [4] which has a larger, $sl(2|2)$, symmetry.

6 $U_q[osp(2|2)^{(1)}]$ R-matrix Revisited

The 4-dimensional grade star irrep of $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ can also be extended to carry an irreducible representation of the untwisted quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[osp(2|2)^{(1)}]$. In this case e_0 and f_0 are odd and given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e_0 &= \sqrt{[1/2]_q}(-e_1^3 + e_2^4), \quad f_0 = -\sqrt{[1/2]_q}(e_3^1 + e_4^2), \\
h_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}(e_2^2 + e_4^4 - e_1^1 - e_3^3). \tag{6.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the R-matrix in the present case as $\check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x)$. In principal, this R-matrix can be obtained by carefully taking the $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ limit of the corresponding R-matrix found in [12]. Here we rederive it more rigorously.

With the explicit expression (6.1) of e_0 , f_0 and h_0 , and writing the most general $\check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x)$ as the form

$$\check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x) = \varrho_+(x) P[+] + \varrho_N(x) N + \varrho_-(x) P[-] \quad (6.2)$$

the Jimbo equations

$$\begin{aligned} \check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x) \Delta(a) &= \Delta(a) \check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x), \quad \forall a \in U_q[\text{osp}(2|2)], \\ \check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x) \left(x e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) &= \left(e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + x q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) \check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

can be solved by direct computations, as we did in last section. Here we proceed a bit differently. We recall that the braid generator σ , which satisfies the first equation in (6.3) and the relation

$$\sigma \left(e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} \right) = \left(q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) \sigma, \quad (6.4)$$

is given by taking the $x \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $\check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x)$

$$\sigma = \check{R}_{\text{ut}}(\infty) = \varrho_+(\infty) P[+] + \varrho_N(\infty) N + \varrho_-(\infty) P[-]. \quad (6.5)$$

On the other hand, the braid generator can also be obtained by taking the $x \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $\check{R}(x)$ in the twisted case:

$$\sigma = \check{R}(\infty) = P[+] - \frac{1-q}{f(q)} \frac{2(q+q^{-1})}{\sqrt{3-2q+3q^2}} N - q P[-]. \quad (6.6)$$

Comparing the above two σ 's, one gets

$$\varrho_+(\infty) = 1, \quad \varrho_-(\infty) = -q, \quad \varrho_N(\infty) = -\frac{1-q}{f(q)} \frac{2(q+q^{-1})}{\sqrt{3-2q+3q^2}}. \quad (6.7)$$

Multiply the second equation in (6.3) by $P[+]$ from the left and the resulting equation by $P[-]$ from the right, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (\varrho_+(x) P[+] + \varrho_N(x) N) \left(x e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) P[-] \\ = \varrho_-(x) P[+] \left(e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} + x q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) P[-] \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

This equation is simplified upon using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} P[+] \left(q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) P[-] &= \left(\frac{\varrho_+(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} P[+] + \frac{\varrho_N(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} \right) \left(e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} \right) P[-] \\ N \left(q^{h_0/2} \otimes e_0 \right) P[-] &= \frac{\varrho_+(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} N \left(e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2} \right) P[-], \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

which are derived from (6.4) by multiplying $P[+]$ and N from the left, respectively and $P[-]$ from the right. The simplified expressions read

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \left(1 + x \frac{\varrho_+(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} \right) \varrho_-(x) - \left(x + \frac{\varrho_+(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} \right) \varrho_+(x) \right\} P[+] (e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2}) P[-] &= 0, \\ \left\{ \frac{\varrho_N(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} (x \varrho_-(x) - \varrho_+(x)) - \varrho_N(x) \left(x + \frac{\varrho_+(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty)} \right) \right\} N (e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2}) P[-] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

One can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} P[+] (e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2}) P[-] &\neq 0, \\ N (e_0 \otimes q^{-h_0/2}) P[-] &\neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_-(x) &= \frac{x \varrho_-(\infty) + \varrho_+(\infty)}{\varrho_-(\infty) + x \varrho_+(\infty)} \varrho_+(x) = \frac{1 - xq}{x - q} \rho_+(x), \\ \varrho_N(x) &= \frac{\varrho_N(\infty)}{x \varrho_-(\infty) + \varrho_+(\infty)} (x \varrho_-(x) - \varrho_+(x)) \\ &= \frac{1 - q}{f(q)} \frac{2(q^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{3 - 2q + 3q^2}} \frac{(x - 1)(x + 1)}{(1 - xq)(x - q)} \rho_+(x), \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where (6.7) has been used. Thus

$$\check{R}_{\text{ut}}(x) = P[+] + \frac{1 - q}{f(q)} \frac{2(q^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{3 - 2q + 3q^2}} \frac{(x - 1)(x + 1)}{(1 - xq)(x - q)} N + \frac{1 - xq}{x - q} P[-]. \quad (6.13)$$

The R-matrix (6.13) also leads to an integrable model of strongly correlated electrons, which, up to a similarity transformation, is the $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ limit of the model proposed in the second paper of the reference [5].

7 Concluding Remarks

We have described the twisted quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[sl(2|2)^{(2)}]$ and obtained the R-matrix $\check{R}(x)$, corresponding to the four dimensional irrep, which is invariant under $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ where $osp(2|2)$ is the fixed point subsuperalgebra under the automorphism on $sl(2|2)$. This leads to a new 4-state model of strongly correlated electrons for which the local Hamiltonian was determined explicitly. It has $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ invariance and the model is exactly solvable in one dimension via the QISM. It is interesting that in the classical ($q \rightarrow 1$) limit, the R-matrix admits $sl(2|2)$ invariance and the corresponding exactly solvable model reduces to that Essler et al [4].

It was moreover shown that the underlying 4-dimensional irrep also gives rise to another $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -invariant R-matrix associated with the untwisted quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[osp(2|2)^{(1)}]$. This R-matrix was determined explicitly and also determines a 4-state model of strongly correlated electrons, exactly solvable in one dimension. This latter model in fact arises as the $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ limit of the model proposed in [5].

The R-matrices determined in this paper exhibit the novel feature of having a $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ -invariant nilpotent component. They give rise to a local Hamiltonian for a quantum spin chain which is not hermitian, but nevertheless admits real eigenvalues (for parameters in the appropriate range). This arises due to the fact that in the reduction of the tensor product of the 4-dimensional irrep with itself into $U_q[osp(2|2)]$ modules, an indecomposable occurs. New techniques are thus required for the solution of the corresponding Jimbo equations, as we have shown in the paper. Our approach yields a new extension of the twisted tensor product graph method introduced in [9].

The R-matrices, and corresponding exactly solvable models, investigated above are in fact the simplest in an infinite hierarchy arising from the twisted quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[sl(m|n = 2k)^{(2)}]$. Such R-matrices all admit $U_q[osp(m|n)]$ invariance and give rise to new supersymmetric lattice models, exactly solvable in one dimension. Their study is thus of great interest, and it is expected that the novel features observed in the case studies of this paper, will also occur in general. It is hoped that the techniques we have introduced above will provide a basis for the explicit determination of these more general R-matrices and their corresponding lattice models.

Acknowledgements

This work has been financially supported by the Australian Research Council.

References

- [1] V.G. Kac, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977) 8; and in *Lect. Notes in Math.* **676** (1978) 597.
- [2] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 457.
- [3] P. Schlottmann, *Phys. Rev.* **B36** (1987) 5177; P. Bars and G. Blatter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 2567; S. Sarkar, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** (1991) 1137; F. Essler and V. Korepin, *Phys. Rev.* **B46** (1992) 9147; A. Foerster and M. Karowski, *Nucl. Phys.* **B408** (1993) 512.
- [4] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 2960.
- [5] A.J. Bracken, M.D. Gould, J.R. Links and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 2768; M.D. Gould, K.E. Hibberd, J.R. Links and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* **A212** (1996) 156.
- [6] G. Bedürftig and H. Frahm, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** (1995) 4453; P.B. Ramos and M.J. Martins, preprint hep-th/9604072; M.P. Pfannmüller and H. Frahm, preprint cond-mat/9604082, *Nucl.Phys.* **B** (to appear); R.Z. Bariev, A. Klümper and J. Zittartz, *Europhys. Lett.* **32** (1995) 85; M.J. Martins and P.B. Ramos, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** (1995) L525.
- [7] M. Scheunert, W. Nahm and V. Rittenberg, *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977) 155.
- [8] M. Jimbo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** (1985) 63; **11** (1986) 247; A.J. Bracken, M.D. Gould and R.B.Zhang, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 831.
- [9] G.W. Delius, M.D. Gould and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A11** (1996) 3415.
- [10] G.M. Gandenberger, N.J. MacKay and G.M.T. Watts, *Nucl. Phys.* **B465** (1996) 329.
- [11] T. Deguchi, A. Fujii and K. Ito, *Phys. Lett.* **B238** (1990) 242.
- [12] A.J. Bracken, G.W. Delius, M.D. Gould and Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994) 6551; G.W. Delius, M.D. Gould, J.R. Links and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10** (1995) 3259.